Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,847	KRANTZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monica A. Huson	1732
All Participants: Status of Application: Allowed		
(1) Monica A. Huson.	(3)	
(2) <u>Paul Onderick</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 11-14		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The examiner called Mr. Onderick to suggest cancelling claims 11-14 so that claims 1-10 could be passed to issue. Mr. Onderick agreed and confirmed the decision to cancel claims 11-14 with his client		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Mang & Guson		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		